Case 2:23-cv-00581-WRPLL POON Ent 3-HEIEG 02/14/23 Page 1 of 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| (a) DI AINTIEEC | | | ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | DEFENDANTS | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ANGHEARA ELFSTROM | | | | BIG PICTURE PHILADELPHIA | | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Gloucester | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia | | | | | | |
| (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) | | | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | |
| Mary Kramer, Esq.; Murphy Law Group, LLC; 1628 Jo F. Kennedy Blvd, Ste. 2000, Philadelphia, PA 19103; | | | | Littily E. Mariler, Esq., Margons Edelstein, 333 Sittlittilled | | | | | | |
| 267-273-1054 | | Street, Ste. 110 | | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | | FIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only) | | | Place an "X" in nd One Box for I | | r Plaintiff | |
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | x 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Not a Party) | | | PTF DEF 1 | Incorporated or Pri of Business In T | ncipal Place | PTF 4 | DEF 4 | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en of Another State | 2 | Incorporated and Poor of Business In A | | 5 | 5 | |
| | | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 3 | Foreign Nation | | <u> </u> | <u>6</u> | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | 1 | • | 1 _ | Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | | PERSONAL INJUR | | F Davis Political Science | | KRUPTCY | | | ES | |
| 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability | 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ | | 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other | 423 Wit | peal 28 USC 158 hdrawal USC 157 | 375 False C 376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State F | am (31 USC a)) | | |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment | 320 Assault, Libel & Slander | Pharmaceutical Personal Injury | | | PROPEI 820 Cop | RTY RIGHTS pyrights | 410 Antitru 430 Banks | | ng | |
| 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted | 330 Federal Employers' Liability | Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal | | | 830 Pate | | 450 Comm 460 Deport | erce | | |
| Student Loans | 340 Marine | Injury Product | | | | v Drug Application | 470 Racket | eer Influen | | |
| (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment | 345 Marine Product Liability | Liability PERSONAL PROPER | TY | LABOR | | end Trade Secrets | 480 Consu | t Organizat mer Credit | nons | |
| of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits | 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle | 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending | 71 | 0 Fair Labor Standards Act | Act | of 2016 | (15 US 485 Teleph | SC 1681 or | | |
| 190 Other Contract | Product Liability | 380 Other Personal | 72 | 0 Labor/Management | SOCIA | L SECURITY | | tion Act | inci | |
| 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise | 360 Other Personal Injury | Property Damage 385 Property Damage | 74 | Relations 0 Railway Labor Act | | k (1395ff) ck Lung (923) | 490 Cable/ 850 Securit | | odities/ | |
| | 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | Product Liability | | 1 Family and Medical Leave Act | 863 DIV | VC/DIWW (405(g)) | Excha | nge | | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITION | NS 79 | 0 Other Labor Litigation | | D Title XVI (405(g)) | 890 Other S 891 Agricu | - | | |
| 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure | 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting | Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee | 79 | 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act | FEDER | AL TAX SUITS | 893 Enviro 895 Freedo | | | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | x 442 Employment | 510 Motions to Vacate | ÷ | mediae security Act | 870 Tax | es (U.S. Plaintiff | Act | | mation | |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability | 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Sentence 530 General | | | | Defendant) —Third Party | 896 Arbitra 899 Admin | | ocedure | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty | IMMIGRATION | | 26 | 26 USC 7609 | | Act/Review or Appeal of | | |
| | Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | Other: 540 Mandamus & Other | | 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration | n | | 950 Consti | y Decision tutionality | of | |
| | Other 448 Education | 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition | | Actions | | | State S | tatutes | | |
| | | 560 Civil Detainee - | | | | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is | n One Box Only) | Conditions of Confinement | | | | | | | | |
| | | Remanded from Appellate Court | 4 Reins Reop | | erred from er District | 6 Multidistric Litigation - Transfer | | Multidis Litigatio Direct F | n - | |
| | 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et s | ntute under which you ar seq. and 42 U.S.C. § 121 | | Oo not cite jurisdictional sta | atutes unless di | versity): | | | _ | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | Brief description of ca | ause: | | IATION and DISCRIMINA | ATION. RETA | LIATION. AND FAII | LURE TO ACC | COMMOD | ATE | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION | | | | DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: | | | | | | |
| COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes No | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCK | ET NUMBER | | | | |
| TE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feb 14, 2023 | | /s/ Mary Kramer | | | | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | 4OUNT | A DDI VING IED | | HIDGE | | MAG HID | VGE | | | |

Case 2:23-cv-00581-WARFED STOCKHSIDISTRICF IDENLIFIC FIGURE/14/23 Page 2 of 16 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

| Address of Plaintiff: | 17 Violet Court, Deptford, N | J 08096 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2300 W Master Street, Philadelp | ohia, PA 19121 | | | | | |
| Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 4290 Penn Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124 | | | | | | | |
| RELATED CASE, IF ANY: | | | | | | | |
| Case Number: | Judge: | Date Terminated: | | | | | |
| Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answ | wered to any of the following questions: | | | | | | |
| 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? | | | | | | | |
| 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? | | | | | | | |
| 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? | | | | | | | |
| 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Verification of the same individual? | | | | | | | |
| this court except as noted above. | is / • is not related to any case now pending or v | | | | | | |
| DATE: 02/14/2023 | Mary Krame of Color Half Kramer and ** Mary Krame of Color Half Kramer and ** Musters and Library Color Half | 324078 | | | | | |
| | Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff | Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) | | | | | | | |
| CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: | B. Diversity Jurisdiction C | Cases: | | | | | |
| | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F | ract and Other Contracts al Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty — Asbestos city Cases | | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): | ract and Other Contracts ral Injury ration I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty - Asbestos rity Cases | | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): | ract and Other Contracts ral Injury ration I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty - Asbestos rity Cases | | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): | ract and Other Contracts al Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty - Asbestos aity Cases for arbitration.) | | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): | ract and Other Contracts al Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty - Asbestos aity Cases for arbitration.) | | | | | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The Mary Kramer, Esq. | and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): | ract and Other Contracts al Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty - Asbestos aity Cases for arbitration.) | | | | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

| ANGHEARA ELFSTROM | : CIVIL ACTION NO. |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| 17 Violet Court | : |
| Deptford, NJ 08096 | : |

Plaintiff, : <u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>

v. BIG PICTURE PHILADELPHIA 2300 W Master Street Philadelphia, PA 19121

Defendant.

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, Angheara Elfstrom ("Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned attorney, for her Complaint against Defendant, Big Picture Philadelphia ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action to redress violations by Defendant of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*, as amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 ("PDA") and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance ("PFPO"), Phila. Code § 9-1101, *et seq.*, by discriminating against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy and unlawfully terminating her employment because of her sex and pregnancy.
- 2. Additionally, Plaintiff brings this action to redress violations by Defendant of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA"), and the PFPO for unlawfully terminating Plaintiff on account of her actual and/or perceived disabilities; and in retaliation for requesting a reasonable accommodation in connection thereto.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States and the State of New Jersey, currently residing at 17 Violet Court, Deptford, NJ 08096.
- 4. Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It currently maintains a business located at 4290 Penn Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124 with a registered business office of 2300 W Master Street, Philadelphia, PA 19121.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. On or about July 21, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination against Defendant with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC"), which was dual-filed with the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations (the "PCHR"), thereby satisfying the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b) and (e).
- 6. Plaintiff's EEOC Charge was docketed as Charge No. 530-2021-04255 and was filed within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the unlawful employment practice alleged herein.
- 7. The PCHR docketed Plaintiff's Charge as Complaint No. 2021-08-18-8457 and was filed within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the unlawful employment practice alleged herein.
- 8. By correspondence dated November 18, 2022, Plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue from the PCHR regarding her Complaint, advising Plaintiff she had two (2) years to file suit against Defendant.

- 9. By correspondence dated December 19, 2022, Plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue from the EEOC regarding her Charge, advising Plaintiff she had ninety (90) days to file suit against Defendant.
- 10. Plaintiff filed the instant action within the statutory time frame applicable to her claims.
- 11. This is an action authorized and instituted pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*, as amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 ("PDA"), and the Americans with Disabilities Act, ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et. seq.*
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, as it is a civil rights action arising under the laws of the United States.
- 13. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's city ordinance claims because those claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative fact as the Title VII and ADA claims.
- 14. The venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the parties reside in this judicial district, doing business herein, and the unlawful practices of which Plaintiff is complaining were committed in this judicial district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. Paragraphs 1 through 14 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 16. In or around January 2020, Defendant hired Plaintiff in the position of Director of Climate and Culture at Defendant's 4290 Penn Street, Philadelphia, PA 19124 location.

- 17. During her tenure with Defendant, Plaintiff received positive reviews of her performance, occasional praise, and no justifiable discipline.
- 18. In or around October 2020, Plaintiff disclosed to Defendant's Human Resources ("HR") Department that she was pregnant and that it was a high-risk pregnancy; Plaintiff informed Defendant's HR Department that her expected due date was May 7, 2021.
- 19. In or around December 2020, Plaintiff disclosed her high-risk pregnancy to her supervisor, Lydia Gonzalez ("Ms. Gonzalez").
- 20. Prior to Plaintiff disclosing her pregnancy to Ms. Gonzalez, Ms. Gonzalez said that "no members better get pregnant."
- 21. When Plaintiff heard Ms. Gonzalez say that "no members better get pregnant," Plaintiff told Ms. Gonzalez that it was illegal to make such comments, but Ms. Gonzalez brushed Plaintiff's concerns away.
- 22. When Plaintiff disclosed her pregnancy to Ms. Gonzalez, Ms. Gonzalez said, "Nina is pregnant, too. Once I get off this call, I am going to call Jomyra and Monshell to see if they are pregnant. They better not be."
- 23. Before Plaintiff's pregnancy, it was normal for Plaintiff to voluntarily do additional work outside of the essential functions of Plaintiff's position.
- 24. However, after Plaintiff became pregnant and her pregnancy became classified as high-risk, she could no longer do additional work outside of the essential functions of her position.
- 25. On or about December 17, 2020, in a public location within Defendant, Ms. Gonzalez said, "If I tell you to do something and you don't, then I must rethink whether you belong on this team. I don't trust someone here. But we need to be a team." Upon information and belief, Ms. Gonzalez's comments were directed towards Plaintiff.

4

- 26. On January 5, 2021, Plaintiff was put on a thirty (30) day performance improvement plan ("PIP") and was scheduled to meet with Ms. Gonzalez and Defendant's Executive Director, David Bromley ("Mr. Bromley"), on Friday, January 8, 2021.
- 27. Because Plaintiff had been experiencing stress and high anxiety at work, and because her pregnancy was high-risk, it was necessary for Plaintiff see her doctor for a check-up on January 7, 2021.
- 28. When Plaintiff saw her doctor on January 7, 2021, her doctor took her out of work from January 8, 2021 through January 12, 2021.
- 29. When Plaintiff saw her doctor on January 7, 2021, she was prescribed Zoloft and advised to see a therapist due to her anxiety.
- 30. On January 7, 2021, Plaintiff provided a doctor's note to Defendant stating: "Due to medical reasons, Angheara Elfstrom is considered a high-risk prenatal patient. Please allow her to take a 10 minute break from work every 2 hours." Additionally, Plaintiff provided a doctor's note excusing her from work on the aforementioned dates.
- 31. A high-risk pregnancy and anxiety are disabilities within the meaning of the ADA and the PFPO in that they substantially impair major life activities over an extended period of time.
- 32. On January 18, 2021, Plaintiff had a meeting with Ms. Gonzalez during which they discussed Plaintiff's concerns with the PIP plan; Ms. Gonzalez ignored Plaintiff's concerns and did not address Plaintiff's responses to the PIP.
- 33. On January 25, 2021, Plaintiff had an appointment with her doctor, which resulted in Plaintiff's doctor putting her on Short Term Disability (STD) leave for one (1) month.
- 34. The following day, January 26, 2021, Plaintiff received an email from HR asking to meet via Zoom with a subject line of "Short Term Disability Review and Touch Base;" when

Plaintiff joined the Zoom call, Ms. Gonzalez and Mr. Bromley were both on the call, along with an HR Representative.

- 35. The HR Representative advised Plaintiff that she had incorrectly signed the STD documents and that Ms. Gonzalez was terminating Plaintiff's employment effective January 27, 2021.
- 36. Soon after the Zoom call, Plaintiff received a termination letter stating her employment was being terminated due to "unsatisfactory performance of duties."
- 37. Plaintiff was terminated before the thirty (30) days allotted for improvement in the PIP, which was set to end on February 5, 2021; notably, Plaintiff had accomplished all of the goals given to her in the PIP.
- 38. Accordingly, Plaintiff believes, and thus avers, that she was subjected to discrimination on the basis of her gender (female), pregnancy/high-risk pregnancy, on the basis of her disability, such disability that Defendant regarded Plaintiff as having, and that Defendant unlawfully terminated Plaintiff's employment in retaliation for her requests for an accommodation in connection to her pregnancy/high-risk pregnancy and disability, in violation of Title VII as amended by the PDA, the ADA, and the PFPO.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, willful, malicious, and unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss of employment, earnings and earnings potential, loss of potential bonuses, and other economic damages, and has also suffered mental anguish, emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress, humiliation, and damage to her reputation.

COUNT I TITLE VII – 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. PREGNANCY/GENDER DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

- 40. Paragraphs 1 through 39 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 41. Defendant employed at least fifteen (15) employees throughout its various office locations at all times material hereto.
- 42. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender (female) and/or pregnancy.
- 43. Defendant's management, who had the authority to materially alter the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, targeted her with discrimination on the basis of her pregnancy, a protected class within the meaning of Title VII, as amended by the PDA.
- 44. Defendant took affirmative discriminatory action against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender (female) and/or pregnancy and in retaliation for her request for accommodations in connection thereto, by terminating Plaintiff's employment.
- 45. Defendant violated Title VII as amended by the PDA, in that Defendant unlawfully terminated Plaintiff on account of her gender (female), pregnancy, and/or in retaliation for her request for accommodations in connection thereto in connection thereto.
- 46. Defendant acted with malice and with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's civil rights and emotional and physical well-being.
- 47. Because of Defendant's unlawful acts, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of, *inter alia*, loss of past and future wages and compensation, mental and emotional damages, loss of reputation, personal humiliation, and loss of life's enjoyment.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, and grant her the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);
- B. Punitive, compensatory, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for its intentional, negligent, willful, wanton, and/or malicious conduct;
- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this action;
- D. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by this Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by applicable federal law;
- E. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount; and
- F. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.

COUNT II THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et. seq. DISCRIMINATION, RETALIATION, AND FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE

- 48. Paragraphs 1 through 47 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 49. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
- 50. Pursuant to the ADA, Plaintiff is a qualified individual with one or more disabilities.

- 51. Defendant was aware of Plaintiff's disabilities and/or regarded Plaintiff as disabled, a protected class under the ADA.
- 52. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her actual and/or perceived disabilities, past record of impairment, and/or because Defendant regarded Plaintiff as disabled.
- 53. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for her requests for reasonable accommodations in connection to her disability in violation of the ADA.
- 54. Defendant unlawfully terminated Plaintiff from employment because of her actual and/or perceived disabilities, and/or in retaliation for her requests for reasonable accommodations in connection to her disabilities, in violation of the ADA.
- 55. It is further alleged that, by reasons of the foregoing, Defendant unlawfully failed to provide reasonable accommodations for Plaintiff's disability and failed to engage in the interactive process, in violation of the ADA.
- 56. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, unlawful, and malicious actions as set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment, earnings, raises, other significant economic benefits, emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, and grant her the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);
- B. Punitive, compensatory, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for its intentional, negligent, willful, wanton, and/or malicious conduct;

- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action;
- D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount; and
- E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances;
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages as set forth by applicable federal law.

COUNT III PHILADELPHIA FAIR PRACTICES ORDINANCE § 9-1100, et. seq PREGNANCY/GENDER DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

- 57. Paragraphs 1 through 56 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 58. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance Code ("PFPO"), § 9-1100, et. seq.
 - 59. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant had at least one (1) employee.
- 60. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender (female) and/or pregnancy.
- 61. Defendant's management, who had the authority to materially alter the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, targeted her with discrimination on the basis of her pregnancy, a protected class within the meaning of the PFPO.
- 62. Defendant took affirmative discriminatory action against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender (female) and/or pregnancy, and in retaliation for her request for accommodations in connection thereto, and by unlawfully terminating Plaintiff's employment.

- 63. Defendant unlawfully terminated Plaintiff on account of her gender (female), pregnancy, and in retaliation for her requests for an accommodation in connection thereto.
- 64. Defendant acted with malice and with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's civil rights and emotional and physical well-being.
- 65. Because of Defendant's unlawful acts, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of, *inter alia*, loss of past and future wages and compensation, mental and emotional damages, loss of reputation, personal humiliation, and loss of life's enjoyment.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, and grant her the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);
- B. Punitive, compensatory, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for its intentional, negligent, willful, wanton, and/or malicious conduct;
- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this matter;
- D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount;
- E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances; and
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by applicable federal law.

COUNT IV PHILADELPHIA FAIR PRACTICES ORDINANCE § 9-1100, et. seq DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION/FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE/RETALIATION

- 66. Paragraphs 1 through 65 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 67. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance Code ("PFPO"), § 9-1100, et. seq.
 - 68. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant had at least one (1) employee.
 - 69. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the PFPO.
 - 70. Defendant was aware of Plaintiff's disabilities.
 - 71. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation in connection to her disabilities.
- 72. Defendant failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for a reasonable accommodation and engage in the interactive process in connection to her disabilities.
- 73. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her actual and/or perceived disabilities, past record of impairment, and/or because Defendant regarding Plaintiff as disabled, in violation of the PFPO.
- 74. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for her requests for reasonable accommodations in connection to her disabilities, in violation of the PFPO.
- 75. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant unlawfully terminated Plaintiff from her employment because of her actual and/or perceived disabilities and in retaliation for a reasonable accommodation in connection thereto, in violation of the PFPO.
- 76. Additionally, Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable accommodation and failed to engage in the interactive process, in violation of the PFPO.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully

requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, and grant her the

maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less

than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);

B. Punitive, compensatory, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at

trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for its intentional, negligent, willful, wanton,

and/or malicious conduct;

C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this matter;

D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount;

E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances; and

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial

recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by

applicable federal law.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issue so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

MURPHY LAW GROUP, LLC

Date: February 14, 2023

By: /s/ Mary Kramer

Mary Kramer, Esq. Eight Penn Center, Suite 2000

1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

TEL: 267-273-1054

FAX: 215-525-0210

mkramer@phillyemploymentlawyer.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

The Defendant is hereby demanded to preserve all physical and electronic information pertaining in any way to Plaintiff's employment, to Plaintiff's potential claims and her claims to damages, to any defenses to same, including, but not limited to, electronic data storage, employment files, files, memos, job descriptions, text messages, e-mails, spreadsheets, images, cache memory, payroll records, paystubs, time records, timesheets, and any other information and/or data which may be relevant to any claim or defense in this litigation.